## #120

# Short Term Results After Missouri Osteochondral Preservation System for Symptomatic Chondral Lesions

Bhumit Desai MD, Gerard Williams MD, Deryk Jones, MD

**Ochsner Sports Medicine Institute** 

New Orleans, Louisiana



## Disclosures

I (and/or my coauthors) have the following disclosures:

#### • Deryk G Jones, MD, FAAOS

Active Implants: Paid presenter or speaker

Arthrex, Inc: Paid presenter or speaker

CONMED Linvatec: Paid presenter or speaker

DePuy, A Johnson & Johnson Company: Paid presenter or speaker

Genzyme: Paid consultant; Paid presenter or speaker; Research support

Linvatec: Paid presenter or speaker

Mitek: Paid consultant; Paid presenter or speaker

Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation: Board or committee member; Paid consultant; Paid presenter or speaker



## Study Purpose

- Healthy articular cartilage is essential for joint function and health
- An osteochondral defect refers to a focal area of damage that involves both the cartilage and a layer of underlying bone
- Assess short term functional outcome measures following treatment of symptomatic chondral lesions treated with osteochondral allografts preserved via MOPS technique







### Missouri Osteochondral Preservation System (MOPS)

# Historic technique: preserve OCAs at 4°C

- Has prolonged detrimental effects making it less viable when it's not implanted early post-harvest
- 2 week mandatory disease screening makes window for implantation tight
- Marked decrease in chondrocyte viability after 14 days with 70% remaining viable after 28 days

## MOPS technique: preserve OCAs at room temperature

- Store in proprietary solution
- OCA viability and quality at significantly higher levels than the standard tissue bank protocols
- over 70% viable chondrocyte density at least 56 days after procurement

**Hypothesis:** Treatment of large osteochondral lesions preserved using the MOPS technique will have positive functional outcomes in the early follow up period.



### Materials & Methods

- IRB approved prospective cohort study
- Single surgeon series spanning 5 years
- Cartilage defects greater than than 2 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Assessed at 6wks, 3mo, 6mo and 12m, 24 mo post op

#### **Outcome measures**

- SF-12
- IKDC
- KOOS
- Lysholm
- VAS pain
- Pain frequency

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- Multiple osteochondral defects in same compartment
- Cartilage defects less than than 2 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Revisions of prior surgery for osteochondral defect lesions
- ICRS Grades 0-2
- Kissing lesions







#### **ICRS** Lesion



Demographics (n = 40)	
Mean age (yrs)	35.3 (13-57)
Mean BMI	26.6 (20.2-36.3)
Affected Joint	Knee 97%; Hip 3%
Gender	F 62%; M 38%



#### **Functional Outcomes Scores**





80

### Conclusions

- MOPS has been reported to effectively preserve OCA twice as long as fresh OCA in current tissue bank protocols
- Our study shows clinical effectiveness → symptomatic knee articular cartilage defects treated with MOPS show significant improvement in IKDC scores, KOOS scores, Lysholm scores and PSF-12 scores
- Promising early results utilizing the MOPS technique of OCA preservation; long term data needed



### References

1. Cook, J.L., et al., A novel system improves preservation of osteochondral allografts. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2014. 472(11): p. 3404-14.

2. De Caro, F., et al., Large fresh osteochondral allografts of the knee: a systematic clinical and basic science review of the literature. Arthroscopy, 2015. 31(4): p. 757-65.

3. Dean, C.S., et al., Fresh Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation for Treatment of Articular Cartilage Defects of the Knee. Arthrosc Tech, 2016. 5(1): p. e157-61.

4. El Bitar, Y.F., et al., Joint-preserving surgical options for management of chondral injuries of the hip. J Am Acad Orthop Surg, 2014. 22(1): p. 46-56.

5. Evans, K.N. and B.C. Providence, Case report: Fresh-stored osteochondral allograft for treatment of osteochondritis dissecans the femoral head. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2010. 468(2): p. 613-8.

6. Familiari, F., et al., Clinical Outcomes and Failure Rates of Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation in the Knee: A Systematic Review. Am J Sports Med, 2017: p. 363546517732531.

7. Gaudiani, M.A., A.S. Ranawat, and C.S. Ranawat, Wear Analysis of Highly Cross-Linked Polyethylene in Young and Active Patients at Average Fourteen Years: A Concise Follow-Up of a Previous Report. J Arthroplasty, 2018. 33(2): p. 586-589.

8. Gaudiani, M.A., et al., Wear Rates With Large Metal and Ceramic Heads on a Second Generation Highly Cross-Linked Polyethylene at Mean 6-Year Follow-Up. J Arthroplasty, 2018. 33(2): p. 590-594.

9. Gracitelli, G.C., et al., Fresh osteochondral allografts in the knee: comparison of primary transplantation versus transplantation after failure of previous subchondral marrow stimulation. Am J Sports Med, 2015. 43(4): p. 885-91.

10. Gracitelli, G.C., et al., Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation for Knee Lesions after Failure of Cartilage Repair Surgery. Cartilage, 2015. 6(2): p. 98-105.

11. Hunt, H.E., et al., The role of immunologic response in fresh osteochondral allografting of the knee. Am J Sports Med, 2014. 42(4): p. 886-91.

12. Kosashvili, Y., et al., Fresh-stored osteochondral allografts for the treatment of femoral head defects: surgical technique and preliminary results. Int Orthop, 2013. 37(6): p. 1001-6.

13. Krych, A.J., et al., Return to athletic activity after osteochondral allograft transplantation in the knee. Am J Sports Med, 2012. 40(5): p. 1053-9.

14. Levy, Y.D., et al., Do fresh osteochondral allografts successfully treat femoral condyle lesions? Clin Orthop Relat Res, 2013. 471(1): p. 231-7.

15. Makhni, E.C., et al., A Critical Review: Management and Surgical Options for Articular Defects in the Hip. Clin Sports Med, 2017. 36(3): p. 573-586.

16. Meyers, M.H., Resurfacing of the femoral head with fresh osteochondral allografts. Long-term results. Clin Orthop Relat Res, 1985(197): p. 111-4.

