Outpatient Shoulder Arthroplasty in the COVID-19 Era: 90-day Complications and Risk Factors

<u>Rajiv P. Reddy BS</u>, Soheil Sabzevari MD, Shaquille Charles MSc, Anya Singh-Varma BS, Matthew Como BS, Albert Lin MD





Department of Orthopaedic Surgery



Disclosures

• Albert Lin has the following disclosures:

- •Stryker/Tornier: Paid Consultant
- •Arthrex: Paid Consultant
- •American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons: Committee or board member
- •American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeon: Committee or board member
- •American Orthopedic Society for Sports Medicine: Committee or board member
- •ISAKOS: Committee or board member
- •Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy: Editorial or governing board
- •Annals in Joint: Editorial or governing board
- •Arthroscopy: Editorial or governing board
- •JISAKOS: Editorial or governing board
- •American Journal of Sports Medicine: Reviewer
- Journal of American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons: Reviewer
- •Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy: Reviewer
- Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery: Reviewer
- Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery: Reviewer
- None of these disclosures are related to the content of this talk







Background

- Shoulder arthroplasty has gained popularity over recent decades, given expanding indications and improvement in outcomes¹
- Current understanding of outpatient shoulder arthroplasty is that it is generally safe and efficacious for "appropriately selected patients"²
- With the COVID-19 pandemic placing an increased burden on healthcare systems, shoulder arthroplasties are more commonly being performed as outpatient procedures³
- Very few studies, however, have assessed outcomes of outpatient shoulder arthroplasty in the COVID-19 era with less stringent patient selection criteria







Study Objective

Aim:

• To characterize the 90-day episode-of-care complications of outpatient shoulder arthroplasty without using a prior algorithm for patient selection and to assess for their risk factors.

Hypothesis:

• Outpatient shoulder arthroplasty may be a safe procedure for all patients, regardless of patient demographics and comorbidities.







Methods

- **Retrospective cohort study** of all patients who underwent planned outpatient anatomic or reverse total shoulder arthroplasty by one fellowship-trained orthopaedic shoulder surgeon between March 2020 and January 2022
- 3-month minimum follow-up
- All patients were scheduled for outpatient surgery regardless of medical comorbidities due to the COVID-19 pandemic
- Indications for shoulder arthroplasty in this study included patients glenohumeral osteoarthritis, rotator cuff arthropathy, and humeral fracture







Methods

- Preoperative data collection
 - **Demographics** age, body mass index (BMI), sex, handedness, and occupation
 - **Comorbidities** tobacco/alcohol use, diabetes, history of MI, history of DVT/PE, COPD
 - Charlson Comorbidity Index and ASA Class
- Outcomes
 - Intraoperative complications
 - 90-day episode-of-care complications unplanned hospital stay on the first night postoperatively, unplanned ED or clinic visits, unplanned hospital readmissions, need for revision, mortality, request for additional pain meds, infection, DVT/PE
 - 3-month PROs
- Multivariate logistic regression to assess risk factors for poor outcomes







Study Cohort

127 patients

• 92 rTSA

• 35 aTSA

Cohort Variable	Outpatient Arthroplasty (n=127)			
Procedure	92 rTSA (72.4%)			
	35 aTSA (27.6%)			
Indication	63 glenohumeral OA (49.6%)			
	54 RC arthropathy (42.5%)			
	10 humerus fracture (7.9%)			
Age	68.7 ± 9.1 years			
BMI	30.0 ± 6.0			
Sex (Male)	59 (46.5%)			
Diabetes	23 (18.1%)			
Tobacco Use	21 (16.5%)			
History of MI	10 (7.9%)			
History of COPD	10 (7.9%)			
History of DVT/PE	10 (7.9%)			
ASA Physical	2 – Mild systemic illness: 78 (61.4%)			
Status	3 – Severe systemic illness: 49 (38.6%)			
CCI	1-2 – Mild: 44 patients (34.6%)			
	3-4 – Moderate: 47 patients (37.0%)			
	≥ 5 – Severe: 36 patients (28.3%)			







- 15 patients required an unplanned overnight stay (6 for severe pain, 4 for postoperative hypoxia, 3 for fever, and 2 for severe nausea)
- Factors predictive of unplanned overnight stay included age over 70 years, tobacco use, and ASA status of 3

Variable (n=127)	Odds Ratio for Unplanned Overnight Stay	95% CI	p-value
Age ≥ 70 years	36.80	2.20 - 615.49	0.012
BMI	1.09	0.97-1.21	0.153
Tobacco Use	12.90	1.23 - 135.31	0.033
Diabetes	1.92	0.32 - 15.00	0.427
COPD	5.21	0.70 - 55.23	0.178
History of MI	3.76	0.25 – 67.26	0.323
History of DVT/PE	3.13	0.28 - 34.85	0.354
ASA Physical Status (3 as opposed to 2)	13.84	1.22 – 156.57	0.034
CCI \geq 5 (as opposed to CCI 1-2)	3.22	0.76 – 55.19	0.245
CCI 3-4 (as opposed to CCI 1-2)	4.15	0.33 – 76.13	0.374







- 7 hospital admissions related to the surgery (2 of these were for PE, 1 for sepsis, 1 for transient global amnesia, 1 for postoperative pneumonia, 1 for a GI bleed in the setting of postoperative NSAID use, and 1 for irrigation and debridement of a surgical site infection)
 - No factors were predictive of hospital readmission
- 4 patients required a revision surgery (2 due to dislocations after a fall, 1 due to fracture after a fall, 1 surgical site infection
 - No factors were predictive of need for revision
- 29 patients requested additional narcotic pain medication (22.8%).
- Total incidence of surgical site infection was 1 (0.8%), DVT was 3 (2.4%), and PE was 2 (1.6%).







 17 unplanned visits to either the emergency department or to the clinic (4 falls onto operative extremity, 3 for DVT, 2 for PE, 2 for postoperative altered mental status, 2 for arm swelling in which DVT was ruled out, 1 for urinary retention, 1 for surgical site infection, 1 for postoperative pneumonia, and 1 for trouble ambulating postoperatively)

Variable (n=127)	Odds Ratio for 90-day Unplanned ED/Clinic Visit	95% CI	p-value
Age ≥ 70 years	7.90	1.26 – 45.45	0.027
BMI	1.04	0.95-1.13	0.448
Tobacco Use	1.18	0.21 - 6.8	0.856
Diabetes	3.08	0.47 – 20.22	0.240
COPD	1.18	0.11 - 13.26	0.891
History of MI	2.33	0.23 - 11.43	0.418
History of DVT/PE	1.85	0.29 - 11.82	0.517
ASA Physical Status (3 as opposed to 2)	1.74	0.39 – 7.68	0.467
CCI ≥ 5 (as opposed to CCI 1-2)	2.07	0.43 – 9.93	0.362
CCI 3-4 (as opposed to CCI 1-2)	1.52	0.18 - 13.21	0.705

• Only factor predictive of unplanned ED/clinic visit was age over 70 years old







Outcome	Preoperative (n=127)	3-month (n=127)	% Achieving MCID	p- value
VAS	8.2 ± 6.2	1.7 ± 1.9	92	<0.001
SSV (%)	37 ± 22	76 ± 18	70	< 0.001
ASES	35 ± 15	72 ± 15	91	<0.001
	(n=81)	(n=81)		
ROM FF (deg)	105 ± 38	129 ± 30	N/A	< 0.001
ROM ER (deg)	26 ± 15	34 ± 15	N/A	< 0.001







Discussion

- Very few studies have assessed clinical outcomes of outpatient shoulder arthroplasty without a preoperative patient selection criterion based on patient comorbidities
- The results of this study indicate that outpatient shoulder arthroplasty is a safe procedure with excellent 90-day outcomes and low rates of readmission regardless of comorbidities.
- We found that no specific preoperative comorbidities are predictive of unplanned ED/clinic visit, hospital readmission, and revisions within the first 90 days postoperatively.
- However, patients who are older, use tobacco, and have a preoperative ASA physical status of 3 as opposed to 2 may be more likely to require overnight hospital stay postoperatively.







Conclusion

- Outpatient shoulder arthroplasty is a safe procedure with excellent outcomes and low rates of readmissions and can be considered as the default plan for all patient undergoing shoulder arthroplasty
- Patients who are above 70 years of age, use tobacco, and have ASA score of 3, however, should be counseled regarding the higher risk of unplanned overnight hospitalization.







References

- Bixby EC, Boddapati V, Anderson MJJ, Mueller JD, Jobin CM, Levine WN. Trends in total shoulder arthroplasty from 2005 to 2018: lower complications rates and shorter lengths of stay despite patients with more comorbidities. *JSES Int*. 2020;4(3):657-661. doi:10.1016/J.JSEINT.2020.04.024
- Allahabadi S, Cheung EC, Hodax JD, Feeley BT, Ma CB, Lansdown DA. Outpatient Shoulder Arthroplasty—A Systematic Review. https://doi.org/101177/24715492211028025. 2021;5:247154922110280. doi:10.1177/24715492211028025
- 3. Mehta N, Bohl DD, Cohn MR, et al. Trends in outpatient versus inpatient total shoulder arthroplasty over time. *JSES Int*. 2021;6(1):7-14. doi:10.1016/J.JSEINT.2021.09.016







Thank You







Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

